

# On the Vertex Folkman Numbers $F_v(2, \dots, 2; q)^*$

Nedyalko Nenov

March 23, 2009

## Abstract

For a graph  $G$  the symbol  $G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  means that in every  $r$ -coloring of the vertices of  $G$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  there exists a monochromatic  $a_i$ -clique of color  $i$ . The vertex Folkman numbers

$$F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = \min\{|V(G)| : G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ and } K_q \not\subseteq G\}$$

are considered. In this paper we shall compute the Folkman numbers  $F_v(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_r; r-k+1)$  when  $k \leq 12$  and  $r$  is sufficiently large. We prove also new bounds for some vertex and edge Folkman numbers.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C55

**Key words:** Folkman numbers, vertex coloring, edge coloring

## 1 Introduction

We consider only finite, non-oriented graphs without loops and multiple edges. The vertex set and the edge set of a graph  $G$  will be denoted by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ , respectively. A graph  $G$  is said to be an *empty graph* if  $V(G) = \emptyset$ . We call a  $p$ -clique of a graph  $G$  a set of  $p$  pairwise adjacent vertices. The largest integer  $p$  such that the graph  $G$  contains a  $p$ -clique is denoted by  $\text{cl}(G)$ . A set of vertices of a graph is said to be *independent* if every two of them are not adjacent. We shall also use the following notations:

$\overline{G}$  is the complement of  $G$ ;

$\alpha(G)$  is the vertex independence number of  $G$ , i.e.,  $\alpha(G) = \text{cl}(\overline{G})$ ;

$\chi(G)$  is the chromatic number of  $G$ ;

$f(G) = \chi(G) - \text{cl}(G)$ ;

$K_n$  is the complete graph on  $n$  vertices;

---

\*Supported by the Scientific Research Fund of St. Kl. Ohridski Sofia University under contract 90/2008.

$C_n$  is the simple cycle on  $n$  vertices;

$$M(x, y) = \{G : |V(G)| < \chi(G) + 2f(G) - x \text{ and } f(G) \leq y\}.$$

The graph  $G$  is a  $(p, q)$ -graph if  $\text{cl}(G) < p$  and  $\alpha(G) < q$ . The *Ramsey number*  $R(p, q)$  is the smallest natural  $n$  such that every graph  $G$  with  $|V(G)| \geq n$  is not a  $(p, q)$ -graph. An exposition of the results on the Ramsey numbers is given in [26]. We shall need Table 1.1 of the known Ramsey numbers  $R(p, 3)$  (see [26]).

Table 1.1: Ramsey numbers  $R(p, 3)$

$p$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$R(p, 3)$	6	9	14	18	23	28	36	40–43	46–51

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two graphs without common vertices. We denote by  $G_1 + G_2$  the graph  $G$  for which  $V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and  $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup E'$  where  $E' = \{[x, y], x \in V(G_1), y \in V(G_2)\}$ . A graph  $G$  is *separable* if  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , where  $V(G_i) = \emptyset, i = 1, 2$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$  be a set of graphs. We say that  $G_0 \in \mathcal{M}$  is a *minimal graph* in  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $|V(G_0)| = \min\{|V(G)| : G \in \mathcal{M}\}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  be positive integers. The symbol  $G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  means that in every  $r$ -coloring

$$V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r, \quad V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j$$

of the vertices of  $G$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  there exists a monochromatic  $a_i$ -clique  $Q$  of color  $i$ , that is  $Q \subseteq V_i$ .

Define

$$\begin{aligned} H_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) &= \{G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ and } \text{cl}(G) < q\}, \\ F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) &= \min\{|V(G)| : G \in H_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  implies  $\text{cl}(G) \geq \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ . Folkman proved in [6] that there exists a graph  $G$  such that  $G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  and  $\text{cl}(G) = \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ . Therefore,

$$(1.1) \quad F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \text{ exists} \iff q > \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}.$$

The numbers  $F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)$  are called *vertex Folkman numbers*. If  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  are positive integers,  $r \geq 2$  and  $a_i = 1$  then it is easy to see that

$$G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r) \Rightarrow G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r).$$

Hence, for  $a_i = 1$

$$F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = F_v(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r; q).$$

Thus, it is enough to consider just such numbers  $F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)$  for which  $a_i \geq 2$ . In this paper we consider the Folkman numbers  $F_v(2, \dots, 2; q)$ . Set

$$\underbrace{(2, \dots, 2)}_r = (2_r) \text{ and } F_v(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_r; q) = F_v(2_r; q).$$

By (1.1)

$$(1.2) \quad F_v(2_r; q) \text{ exists} \iff q \geq 3.$$

It is clear that

$$(1.3) \quad G \xrightarrow{v} (2_r) \iff \chi(G) \geq r + 1.$$

Since  $K_{r+1} \xrightarrow{v} (2_r)$  and  $K_r \not\xrightarrow{v} (2_r)$  we have

$$(1.4) \quad F_v(2_r; q) = r + 1 \text{ if } q \geq r + 2.$$

According to (1.4) it is enough to consider just such numbers  $F_v(2_r; r - k + 1)$  for which  $k \geq -1$ . In this paper we shall prove the following results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $r$  and  $k$  be integers such that  $-1 \leq k \leq 5$  and  $r \geq k + 2$ . Then*

- (a)  $F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) \geq r + 2k + 3$ ;
- (b)  $F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) = r + 2k + 3$  if  $k \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and  $r \geq 2k + 2$  or  $k \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $r \geq 2k + 3$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $r \geq 8$  be a natural number. Then*

- (a)  $F_v(2_r; r - 5) \geq r + 14$  and  $F_v(2_r; r - 5) = r + 14$  if and only if  $r \geq 13$ ;
- (b)  $F_v(2_r; r - 6) \geq r + 16$  if  $r \geq 9$  and  $F_v(2_r; r - 6) = r + 16$  if  $r \geq 15$ ;
- (c)  $F_v(2_r; r - 7) \geq r + 17$ ,  $r \geq 10$  and  $F_v(2_r; r - 7) = r + 17$  if and only if  $r \geq 16$ ;
- (d)  $F_v(2_r; r - 8) \geq r + 18$ ,  $r \geq 11$  and  $F_v(2_r; r - 8) = r + 18$  if and only if  $r \geq 17$ ;
- (e)  $F_v(2_r; r - 9) \geq r + 20$ ,  $r \geq 12$  and  $F_v(2_r; r - 9) = r + 20$  if  $r \geq 19$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $r \geq 13$  be a natural number. Then*

- (a)  $F_v(2_r; r - 10) \geq r + 21$  and  $F_v(2_r; r - 10) = r + 21$  if  $R(10, 3) > 41$  and  $r \geq 20$ ;
- (b) If  $R(10, 3) \leq 41$  we have  $F_v(2_r; r - 10) \geq r + 22$  and  $F_v(2_r; r - 10) = r + 22$  if  $r \geq 21$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $r$  and  $k$  be natural numbers such that  $r \geq k + 2$  and  $k \geq 12$ . Then*

- (a)  $F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) \geq r + k + 11$ ;
- (b) If  $k = 12$  and  $r \geq 22$  then  $F_v(2_r; r - 11) = r + 23$ .

**Remark 1.1.** By (1.2) the number  $F_v(2_r; r - k + 1)$  exists if and only if  $r \geq k + 2$ . Thus, the inequality  $r \geq k + 2$  in the statements of these Theorems is necessary.

**Remark 1.2.** The case  $k = 0$  of Theorem 1.1 was proved by Dirac in [3]. It was also proved in [3] that the graph  $K_{r-2} + C_5$ ,  $r \geq 2$  is the only minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r + 1)$ . The cases  $k = 1$  and  $k = 2$  of Theorem 1.1 were proved in [18]. It was also proved in [18] that  $K_{r-5} + C_5 + C_5$ ,  $r \geq 5$  is the only minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r)$  (see also [23]). The case  $k = 3$  was proved in [17]. We gave new proofs of the cases  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$  of Theorem 1.1 in [24].

The method we use here does not allow us to compute the numbers  $F_r(2_r; r - k + 1)$  when  $r < 2k + 2$  and  $1 \leq k \leq 5$ . We know only the following numbers of this kind:

$$\begin{array}{ll} F_v(2_3; 3) = 11, & [1] \text{ and } [14]; \\ F_v(2_4; 3) = 22, & [9]; \\ F_v(2_r; 4) = 11, & [19] \text{ (see also [20])}. \end{array}$$

We know about number  $F_4(2_5; 4)$  only that  $12 \leq F_v(2_5; 4) \leq 16$  (see [24]).

**Remark 1.3.** If  $k \geq 2$  then there is more than one minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r - 1)$ . For example, if  $r \geq 8$  the graph  $K_{r-8} + C_5 + C_5 + C_5$  is also minimal in  $H_v(2_r; r - 1)$  besides the minimal graph from the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Remark 1.4.** Luczak et al. [13] proved the inequality

$$(1.5) \quad F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) \leq r + 2k + 3 \text{ if } r \geq 3k + 2.$$

They also proved that (1.5) is strict when  $k$  is very large (see [13]). It can be easily seen from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 (a) that  $k = 6$  is the smallest value of  $k$  for which the inequality (1.5) is strict.

## 2 Auxiliary Results

The following lemmas are used to prove the main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $q \geq 4$  be an integer and  $G$  be a minimal graph (see Definition 1.1) in  $H_v(2_r; q - 1)$ . Then*

$$F_v(2_r; q - 1) \geq F_v(2_r; q) + \alpha(G) - 1.$$

**Proof.** Let  $A \subseteq V(G)$  be an independent set of vertices of  $G$  such that  $|A| = \alpha(G)$ . Consider the graph  $G' = K_1 + (G - A)$ . By (1.3),  $\chi(G) \geq r + 1$ . Since  $A$  is an independent vertex set it follows that  $\chi(G - A) \geq r$  and  $\chi(G') \geq r + 1$ . By (1.3),  $G' \xrightarrow{v} (2_r)$ . Since  $\text{cl}(G) \leq q - 2$  we have  $\text{cl}(G') \leq q - 1$ . Hence,  $G' \in H_v(2_r; q)$  and

$$F_v(2_r; q) \leq |V(G')| = |V(G)| - \alpha(G) + 1.$$

Lemma 2.1 follows from this inequality because  $|V(G)| = F_v(2_r; q - 1)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $q$  and  $r$  be integers such that  $4 \leq q < r + 3$ . Then*

- (a)  $F_v(2_r; q - 1) \geq F_v(2_r; q) + 1$ ;
- (b) *If  $F_v(2_r; q) + 1 \geq R(q - 1, 3)$  then the inequality (a) is strict.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; q - 1)$ . By (1.3),  $\chi(G) \geq r + 1$ . Since  $\text{cl}(G) \leq q - 2$  and  $q < r + 3$  we have

$$\text{cl}(G) < r + 1 \leq \chi(G).$$

Thus,  $\alpha(G) \geq 2$  and inequality (a) follows from Lemma 2.1.

Let  $F_v(2_r; q) + 1 \geq R(q - 1, 3)$ . Then we see from (a) that

$$|V(G)| = F_v(2_r; q - 1) \geq R(q - 1, 3).$$

Since  $\text{cl}(G) < q - 1$ , this inequality implies  $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ . From Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$F_v(2_r; q - 1) \geq F_v(2_r; q) + 2.$$

The Corollary 2.1 is proved.  $\square$

A graph  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -chromatic if  $\chi(G) = k$ . A graph  $G$  is defined to be *vertex-critical chromatic* if  $\chi(G - v) < \chi(G)$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $q \geq 3$  be an integer and let  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; q)$ . Then*

(a)  $G$  is a vertex-critical  $(r + 1)$ -chromatic graph;

(b) If  $q < r + 3$  then  $\text{cl}(G) = q - 1$ .

**Proof.** *Proof of (a).* By (1.3),  $\chi(G) \geq r + 1$ . Assume that (a) is wrong. Then there exists  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $\chi(G - v) \geq r + 1$ . Thus, according to (1.3),  $G - v \in H_v(2_r; q)$ . This contradicts the minimality of  $G$  in  $H_v(2_r; q)$ .

*Proof of (b).* Assume that (b) is wrong. Then, since  $\text{cl}(G) \leq q - 1$  we have  $\text{cl}(G) \leq q - 2$ . Thus,  $G \in H_v(2_r; q - 1)$ . Hence  $H_v(2_r; q - 1) \neq \emptyset$  and, by (1.2),  $q \geq 4$ . So,

$$|V(G)| = F_v(2_r; q) \geq F_v(2_r; q - 1).$$

Since  $q < r + 3$  this contradicts Corollary 2.1 (a). □

The following obvious equalities

$$(2.1) \quad \chi(G_1 + G_2) = \chi(G_1) + \chi(G_2);$$

$$(2.2) \quad \text{cl}(G_1 + G_2) = \text{cl}(G_1) + \text{cl}(G_2)$$

are used to prove the following Lemma 2.3.

Let  $f(G) = \chi(G) - \text{cl}(G)$ . Then it easily follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad f(G_1 + G_2) = f(G_1) + f(G_2).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $m$  and  $k$  be positive integers such that  $m \geq k + 3$  and  $2m - 1 < R(m - k, 3)$ . Let*

$$F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) \geq r + m \text{ for any } r \geq m - 1.$$

*Then*

$$F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) = r + m \text{ if } r \geq m - 1.$$

**Remark 2.1.** It follows from  $r \geq m - 1$  and  $m \geq k + 3$  that  $r - k + 1 \geq 3$ . Thus, by (1.2), the number  $F_v(2_r; r - k + 1)$  exists.

**Proof.** We need to prove that

$$F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) \leq r + m \text{ if } r \geq m - 1.$$

It follows from  $0 < 2m - 1 < R(m - k, 3)$  that there exists a graph  $P$  such that  $|V(P)| = 2m - 1$ ,  $\text{cl}(P) \leq m - k - 1$  and  $\alpha(G) < 3$ . Define

$$P(r) = K_{r-m+1} + P, \quad r \geq m - 1.$$

Since  $|V(P)| = 2m - 1$  and  $\alpha(P) < 3$  we have  $\chi(P) \geq m$ . From (2.1) we see that  $\chi(P(r)) \geq r + 1$ . The inequality  $\text{cl}(G) \leq m - k - 1$  together with (2.2) implies that  $\text{cl}(P(r)) \leq r - k$ . Hence, by (1.3),  $P(r) \in H_v(2_r; r - k + 1)$  and

$$F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) \leq |V(P(r))| = r + m \text{ if } r \geq m - 1.$$

Lemma 2.3 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** It is clear from the proof of Lemma 2.3 that the following theorem is true:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $m$  and  $k$  be positive integers such that*

$$2m - 1 < R(m - k, 3) \text{ and } m \geq k + 3.$$

*Then  $F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) \leq r + m$  if  $r \geq m - 1$ .*

### 3 Some Properties of the Minimal Graphs in $M(x, y)$

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be integers. Define

$$M(x, y) = \{G : |V(G)| < \chi(G) + 2f(G) - x \text{ and } f(G) \leq y\}.$$

In this section we shall prove some properties of the minimal graphs in  $M(x, y)$  (see Definition 1.1). These properties will be need for the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 in the Section 4. If  $x < 0$  then the empty graph belongs to  $M(x, y)$  and hence it is the only minimal graph in  $M(x, y)$ . That is why we shall assume  $x \geq 0$ .

The aim of this section is to prove the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $M(x, y) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $x \geq 0$  and let  $G_0$  be a minimal graph in  $M(x, y)$ . If  $G_0$  is a nonseparable graph then:*

$$(a) \quad |V(G_0)| = 4f(G_0) - 2x - 1;$$

$$(b) \quad 4f(G_0) - 2x - 1 < R(f(G_0) - x + 1, 3) \text{ where } R(p, 3) \text{ is the Ramsey number.}$$

An important result of Gallai that we shall need later is:

**Theorem 3.2** ([7] (see also [8])). *Let  $G$  be a vertex-critical chromatic graph and  $\chi(G) \geq 2$ . Then, if  $|V(G)| < 2\chi(G) - 1$ , the graph  $G$  is separable in the sense that  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , where  $V(G_i) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .*

**Remark 3.1.** In the original statement of Theorem 3.2 the graph  $G$  is edge-critical (and not vertex-critical) chromatic. Since each vertex-critical chromatic graph  $G$  contains an edge-critical chromatic subgraph  $H$  such that  $\chi(H) = \chi(G)$  and  $V(H) = V(G)$  the above statement of Theorem 3.2 is equivalent to the original one.

In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we shall use the following two Lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M(x, y) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $x \geq 0$  and  $G_0$  be a minimal graph in  $M(x, y)$ . Let  $A \neq \emptyset$  be an independent vertex set of  $G_0$  and  $G'_0 = G_0 - A$ . Then*

- (a)  $\chi(G'_0) = \chi(G_0) - 1$ ;
- (b)  $\text{cl}(G'_0) = \text{cl}(G_0)$ ;
- (c)  $|V(G_0)| = \chi(G_0) + 2f(G_0) - x - 1$ .

**Proof.** *Proof of (a).* Since  $A$  is an independent vertex set we have  $\chi(G'_0) = \chi(G_0) - 1$  or  $\chi(G'_0) = \chi(G_0)$ . Assume that (a) is wrong. Then  $\chi(G'_0) = \chi(G_0)$ . Let  $\chi(G'_0) = \chi(G_0) = m$  and

$$V(G'_0) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m, \quad V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j,$$

where  $V_i$  are independent sets of  $G_0$ . Note that  $\text{cl}(G'_0) \leq \text{cl}(G) \leq m$ . Thus, after adding new edges  $[u, v]$ , where  $u$  and  $v$  belong to different sets  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  to  $E(G'_0)$  we shall obtain the graph  $G''_0$  such that  $\text{cl}(G''_0) = \text{cl}(G_0)$ ,  $\chi(G''_0) = \chi(G_0)$  and  $f(G''_0) = f(G_0)$ . Since  $A \neq \emptyset$  we have

$$|V(G''_0)| < |V(G_0)| < \chi(G_0) + 2f(G_0) - x = \chi(G''_0) + 2f(G''_0) - x.$$

So, we obtain that  $G''_0 \in M(x, y)$ . This contradicts the minimality of  $G_0$  in  $M(x, y)$ .

*Proof of (b).* It is clear that  $\text{cl}(G'_0) = \text{cl}(G)$  or  $\text{cl}(G'_0) = \text{cl}(G_0) - 1$ . Assume that (b) is wrong. Then  $\text{cl}(G'_0) = \text{cl}(G_0) - 1$ . By (a) we have  $\chi(G'_0) = \chi(G_0) - 1$ . Thus,  $f(G'_0) = f(G_0) \leq y$ . Since  $|V(G'_0)| < |V(G_0)|$ , from the minimality of  $G_0$  it follows that

$$|V(G'_0)| \geq \chi(G'_0) + 2f(G'_0) - x = \chi(G_0) - 1 + 2f(G_0) - x.$$

From this inequality it follows that  $|V(G_0)| \geq \chi(G_0) + 2f(G_0) - x$ . This is a contradiction because  $G_0 \in M(x, y)$ .

*Proof of (c).* Assume the opposite, i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad |V(G_0)| \leq \chi(G_0) + 2f(G_0) - x - 2.$$

Since  $|V(G_0)| \geq \chi(G_0)$  and  $x \geq 0$  it follows from (3.1) that  $f(G_0) \neq 0$ . Thus, there are two non-adjacent vertices  $u, v \in V(G_0)$ . Consider the subgraph  $G'_0 = G_0 - \{u, v\}$ . By (a)



and (b) we have  $\chi(G'_0) = \chi(G_0) - 1$  and  $f(G'_0) = f(G_0) - 1$ . Since  $|V(G'_0)| = |V(G_0)| - 2$ , it is easy to see from (3.1) that

$$|V(G'_0)| \leq \chi(G_0) - 1 + 2f(G_0) - 2 - x - 1 < \chi(G'_0) + 2f(G'_0) - x.$$

This is a contradiction since  $|V(G'_0)| < |V(G_0)|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M(x, y) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $x \geq 0$  and let  $G_0$  be minimal graph in  $M(x, y)$ . Then*

(a)  $G_0$  is a  $(\text{cl}(G_0) + 1, 3)$ -graph;

(b)  $|V(G_0)| \leq 2\chi(G_0) - 1$ ;

(c)  $|V(G_0)| \geq 4f(G_0) - 2x - 1$ .

**Proof.** *Proof of (a).* We need to prove that  $\alpha(G_0) < 3$ . Assume the opposite and let  $\{u, v, w\}$  be an independent vertex set of  $G_0$ . Consider the subgraph  $G'_0 = G_0 - \{u, v, w\}$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have  $\chi(G'_0) = \chi(G_0) - 1$  and  $f(G'_0) = f(G_0) - 1$ . Since  $f(G'_0) < y$  and  $|V(G'_0)| < |V(G_0)|$ , it follows from the minimality of  $G_0$  that

$$|V(G'_0)| \geq \chi(G'_0) + 2f(G'_0) - x.$$

As  $|V(G_0)| = |V(G'_0)| + 3$  it follows that  $|V(G_0)| \geq \chi(G_0) + 2f(G_0) - x$ . This contradicts  $G_0 \in M(x, y)$ .

*Proof of (b).* By (a),  $\alpha(G_0) < 3$ . Thus, we have  $|V(G_0)| \leq 2\chi(G_0)$  and we need to prove that  $|V(G_0)| \neq 2\chi(G_0)$ . Assume the opposite, i.e.,  $|V(G_0)| = 2\chi(G_0)$  and let  $v \in V(G_0)$ . Consider the subgraph  $G'_0 = G_0 - v$ . By Lemma 3.1 (a),  $\chi(G'_0) = \chi(G_0) - 1$ . Since  $\alpha(G'_0) < 3$  it follows that  $|V(G'_0)| \leq 2\chi(G'_0) - 2$  which is a contradiction.

*Proof of (c).* From (b) and Lemma 3.1 (c) we obtain

$$\chi(G_0) \geq 2f(G_0) - x.$$

By this inequality and Lemma 3.1 (c) we see that

$$|V(G_0)| \geq 4f(G_0) - 2x - 1. \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** *Proof of (a).* According to Lemma 3.1 (a)  $G_0$  is a vertex-critical chromatic graph. Since  $G_0$  is nonseparable, it follows from Lemma 3.2 (b) and Theorem 3.2 that

$$(3.2) \quad |V(G_0)| = 2\chi(G_0) - 1.$$

By (3.2) and Lemma 3.1 (c) we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \chi(G_0) = 2f(G_0) - x, \quad \text{cl}(G_0) = f(G_0) - x \quad \text{and} \quad |V(G_0)| = 4f(G_0) - 2x - 1.$$

*Proof of (b).* According to Lemma 3.2 (a) we have

$$|V(G_0)| < R(\text{cl}(G_0) + 1, 3).$$

From this inequality and (3.3) it follows (b).

Theorem 3.1 is proved. □

## 4 A Lower Bound for $|V(G)|$ when $f(G) \leq 13$

In this section our goal is to prove the following two theorems.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph such that  $f(G) \leq 11$ . Then*

- (a)  $|V(G)| \geq \chi(G) + 2f(G)$  if  $f(G) \leq 6$ ;
- (b)  $|V(G)| \geq \chi(G) + 2f(G) - 1$  if  $f(G) = 7$  or  $f(G) = 8$ ;
- (c)  $|V(G)| \geq \chi(G) + 16$  if  $f(G) = 9$ ;
- (d)  $|V(G)| \geq \chi(G) + 2f(G) - 3$  if  $f(G) = 10$  or  $f(G) = 11$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph such that  $f(G) \leq 13$ . Then*

- (a)  $|V(G)| \geq \chi(G) + 2f(G) - 4$ ;
- (b) *If  $f(G) = 12$  and  $R(10, 3) \leq 41$  then the inequality (a) is strict.*

**Remark 4.1.** If  $f(G) \geq 7$  then the inequality (a) of Theorem 4.1 is not true. For example if  $G$  is a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r - 5)$  we have from Lemma 2.2 that  $\chi(G) = r + 1$ ,  $\text{cl}(G) = r - 6$  and  $f(G) = 7$ . By Theorem 1.2 we see that

$$|V(G)| = r + 14 < \chi(G) + 2f(G) \text{ if } r \geq 13.$$

In the same way we also see that the conditions for  $f(G)$  in the statements (b), (c) and (d) of Theorem 4.1 are necessary.

**Remark 4.2.** If  $f(G) \leq 6$  the inequality (a) of Theorem 4.1 is exact. Indeed, if  $G$  is a minimal graph in  $H_v(2r; r - k + 1)$  where  $-1 \leq k \leq 5$ , by Lemma 2.2 we have  $\chi(G) = r + 1$ ,  $\text{cl}(G) = r - k$  and  $f(G) = k + 1 \leq 6$ . When  $r$  is large enough we have according to Theorem 1.1

$$|V(G)| = r + 2k + 3 = \chi(G) + 2f(G).$$

In the same way (using Theorem 1.2) we see that the inequalities (b), (c) and (d) are exact.

**Remark 4.3.** If  $f(G) = 13$  the inequality (a) of Theorem 4.2 is exact by Theorem 1.4 (b). If  $f(G) = 12$  and  $R(10, 3) \geq 42$  this inequality is exact according to Theorem 1.3 (a).

We shall use the following two lemmas in the proof of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $M(0, y) \neq \emptyset$ . Then every minimal graph in  $M(0, y)$  is nonseparable.*

**Proof.** Assume the opposite and let  $G_0$  be a minimal graph in  $M(0, y)$  such that  $G_0 = G_1 + G_2$ , where  $V(G_i) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $|V(G_i)| < |V(G_0)|$  we have  $G_i \notin M(0, y)$ . Since  $f(G_i) \leq f(G) \leq y$  it follows that

$$|V(G_i)| \geq \chi(G_i) + 2f(G_i), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Summing these two inequalities we obtain, by (2.1) and (2.3), that

$$|V(G_0)| \geq \chi(G_0) + 2f(G_0)$$

a contradiction. □

**Corollary 4.1.**  $M(0, y) = \emptyset$  if  $y \leq 6$ .

**Proof.** Assume the opposite, i.e.,  $M(0, y) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $y \leq 6$ . Let  $G_0$  be minimal in  $M(0, y)$ . Then  $f(G_0) \leq 6$ . According to Lemma 4.1  $G_0$  is nonseparable. Thus, by Theorem 3.1 (b) ( $x = 0$ ) we have

$$4f(G_0) - 1 < R(f(G_0) + 1, 3)$$

for  $f(G_0) \leq 6$  which is a contradiction (see Table 1.1). □

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph such that*

$$|V(G)| < \chi(G) + 2f(G).$$

*Then  $|V(G)| \geq 27$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $G \in M(0, f(G))$  we have  $M(0, f(G)) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $G_0$  be a minimal graph in  $M(0, f(G))$ . By Corollary 4.1,  $f(G_0) \geq 7$ . Thus, it follows from Lemma 3.2 (c) that  $|V(G)| \geq |V(G_0)| \geq 27$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $M(x, y) \neq \emptyset$  where  $x \geq 0$  and  $y \leq 13$ . Then every minimal graph in  $M(x, y)$  is nonseparable.*

**Proof.** Assume the opposite and let  $G_0$  be a minimal graph in  $M(x, y)$  such that  $G_0 = G_1 + G_2$ ,  $V(G_i) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $f(G_1) \leq f(G_2)$ . Then  $f(G_1) \leq 6$  because  $f(G_1) + f(G_2) = f(G_0) \leq 13$ . By Corollary 4.1 we obtain that

$$(4.1) \quad |V(G_1)| \geq \chi(G_1) + 2f(G_1).$$

Since  $G_2 \notin M(x, y)$  and  $f(G_2) \leq y$  we have that

$$(4.2) \quad |V(G_2)| \geq \chi(G_2) + 2f(G_2) - x.$$

Summing the inequalities (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain by (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$|V(G_0)| \geq \chi(G_0) + 2f(G_0) - x,$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Statement (a) follows immediately from Corollary 4.1.

*Proof of (b).* Assume the opposite. Then  $M(1, 8) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $G_0$  be a minimal graph in  $M(1, 8)$ . It is easy to see that

$$G_0 \in M(1, 8) \Rightarrow G_0 \in M(0, 8).$$

Thus, by Corollary 4.1, we have  $f(G_0) \geq 7$ , i.e.,  $f(G_0) = 7$  or  $f(G_0) = 8$ . According to Lemma 4.2  $G_0$  is nonseparable. Thus, from Theorem 3.1 ( $x = 1$ ), it follows that

$$4f(G_0) - 3 < R(f(G_0), 3),$$

where  $f(G_0) = 7$  or  $f(G_0) = 8$ , which is a contradiction.

The proofs of statements (c) and (d) are completely similar to that of statement (b).

Theorem 4.1 is proved.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** *Proof of (a).* Assume the opposite. Then  $M(4, 13) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $G_0$  be a minimal graph in  $M(4, 13)$ . It is clear that

$$G_0 \in M(4, 13) \Rightarrow G_0 \in M(3, 13).$$

Thus, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that  $f(G_0) \geq 12$ . Hence  $f(G_0) = 12$  or  $f(G_0) = 13$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $G_0$  is nonseparable. Thus, Theorem 3.1 (b) ( $x = 4$ ) implies

$$4f(G_0) - 9 < R(f(G_0) - 3, 3),$$

where  $f(G_0) = 12$  or  $f(G_0) = 13$  which is a contradiction.

*Proof of (b).* Assume the opposite. Then  $M(3, 12) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $G_0$  be a minimal graph in  $M(3, 12)$ . From Theorem 4.1 it follows that  $f(G_0) = 12$ . Since  $G_0$ , by Lemma 4.2, is nonseparable it follows from Theorem 3.1 (b) that

$$4f(G_0) - 7 < R(f(G_0) - 2, 3),$$

where  $f(G_0) = 12$  which is a contradiction, by our assumption  $R(10, 3) \leq 41$ .  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** *Proof of (a).* Let  $G$  be a minimal in  $H_v(2_r; r - k + 1)$ . By Lemma 2.2  $\chi(G) = r + 1$ ,  $\text{cl}(G) = r - k$  and  $f(G) = k + 1$ . Since  $k \leq 5$  we have  $f(G) \leq 6$ . Thus, from Theorem 4.1 (a) it follows that

$$F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) = |V(G)| \geq r + 2k + 3.$$

*Proof of (b).* We shall consider the following three cases.

CASE 1.  $k = -1$ . In this case (b) follows from (1.4).

CASE 2.  $k \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . By Table 1.1 in this case the following inequality

$$2(2k + 3) - 1 < R(k + 3, 3).$$

holds. Thus, by Lemma 2.3 we obtain  $F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) = r + 2k + 3$  if  $r \geq 2k + 2$ .

CASE 3.  $k = 1$ . We need to prove that  $F_v(2_r; r) \leq r + 5$  if  $r \geq 5$ . Define

$$P(r) = K_{r-5} + C_5 + C_5, \quad r \geq 5.$$

By (2.1) and (2.2) we have  $\chi(P(r)) = r + 1$  and  $\text{cl}(P(r)) = r - 1$ . Thus, from (1.3) it follows that  $P(r) \in H_v(2_r; r)$ . Hence

$$F_v(2_r; r) \leq |V(P(r))| = r + 5, \quad r \geq 5$$

and Theorem 1.1 is proved.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** *Proof of (a).* Let  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r-5)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2,  $\chi(G) = r+1$ ,  $\text{cl}(G) = r-6$  and  $f(G) = 7$ . Thus, from Theorem 4.1 (b) it follows

$$F_v(2_r; r-5) = |V(G)| \geq r+14.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 ( $k = 6, m = 14$ ) we obtain

$$F_v(2_r; r-5) = r+14 \text{ if } r \geq 13.$$

Let  $8 \leq r \leq 12$ . From Table 1.1 we see that  $R(r-5, 3) \leq r+14$ . By Theorem 1.1 ( $k = 5$ ) we have  $F_v(2_r; r-4) \geq r+13$  and thus  $F_v(2_r; r-4) + 1 \geq R(r-5, 3)$ . According to Corollary 2.1 (b) ( $q = r-4$ ),  $F_v(2_r; r-5) \geq r+15$ .

*Proof of (b).* Let  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r-6)$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\chi(G) = r+1$  and  $f(G) = 8$ . From Theorem 4.1 (b) it follows that

$$F_v(2_r; r-6) = |V(G)| \geq r+16.$$

Thus, Lemma 2.3 ( $k = 7, m = 16$ ) implies  $F_v(2_r; r-6) = r+16$  if  $r \geq 15$ .

*Proof of (c).* Let  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r-7)$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\chi(G) = r+1$  and  $f(G) = 9$ . Thus, from Theorem 4.1 (c) it follows that  $F_v(2_r; r-7) \geq r+17$ ,  $r \geq 10$ . From this inequality and Lemma 2.3 ( $k = 8, m = 17$ ) we see that  $F_v(2_r; r-7) = r+17$  if  $r \geq 16$ .

Let  $10 \leq r \leq 15$ . By Table 1.1 we have that  $R(r-7, 3) < r+17$ . Since, by (b),  $F_v(2_r; r-6) + 1 \geq r+17$  we have  $F_v(2_r; r-6) + 1 > R(r-7, 3)$ . From Corollary 2.1 (b), the inequality  $F_v(2_r; r-7) \geq r+18$  holds.

*Proof of (d).* If  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r-8)$  then, by Lemma 2.2,  $\chi(G) = r+1$  and  $f(G) = 10$ . From Theorem 4.1 (d) it follows that

$$|V(G)| = F_v(2_r; r-8) \geq r+18, \quad r \geq 11.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 ( $k = 9, m = 18$ ) we obtain  $F_v(2_r; r-8) = r+18$  if  $r \geq 17$ .

Let  $11 \leq r \leq 16$ . In this case we have  $R(r-8, 3) \leq r+18$ . By (c),  $F_v(2_r; r-7) \geq r+17$ . Thus,  $F_v(2_r; r-7) + 1 \geq R(r-8, 3)$  and, by Corollary 2.1 (b),  $F_v(2_r; r-8) \geq r+19$ .

*Proof of (e).* Let  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r-9)$ . According to Lemma 2.2 we have  $\chi(G) = r+1$  and  $f(G) = 11$ . By Theorem 4.1 (d) we obtain

$$|V(G)| = F_v(2_r; r-9) \geq r+20.$$

This inequality and Lemma 2.3 ( $k = 10, m = 20$ ) imply that  $F_v(2_r; r-9) = r+20$  if  $r \geq 19$ .

Theorem 1.2 is proved.  $\square$

## 6 Proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r - 10)$ . According to Lemma 2.2 we have  $\chi(G) = r + 1$  and  $f(G) = 12$ . Thus, by Theorem 4.2 (a) it follows that

$$|V(G)| = F_v(2_r; r - 10) \geq r + 21, \quad r \geq 13.$$

Let  $R(10, 3) > 41$ . Then, by Lemma 2.3 ( $k = 11, m = 21$ ) it follows that

$$F_v(2_r; r - 10) = r + 21 \text{ if } r \geq 20.$$

Let  $R(10, 3) \leq 41$ . From Theorem 4.2 (b) we obtain  $|V(G)| = F_v(2_r; r - 10) \geq r + 22$ . Applying Lemma 2.3 ( $k = 11, m = 22$ ) we deduce that  $F_v(2_r; r - 10) = r + 22$  if  $r \geq 21$  because  $43 < R(11, 3)$  (see [26]).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** *Proof of (a).* The proof is by induction on  $k$  with induction base  $k = 12$ . Let  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(2_r; r - 11)$ . Then, by Theorem 4.2 (a) we obtain

$$(6.1) \quad |V(G)| = F_v(2_r; r - 11) \geq r + 23.$$

We are done with the base  $k = 12$ . Let  $k \geq 13$  and

$$F_v(2_r; r - k + 2) \geq r + k + 10.$$

Then, by Corollary 2.1 (a) it follows that

$$F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) \geq r + k + 11.$$

*Proof of (b).* From (6.1) and Lemma 2.3 ( $k = 12, m = 23$ ) we deduce that  $F_v(2_r; r - 11) = r + 23$  if  $r \geq 22$  because  $R(11, 3) > 45$  (see [26]).

Theorem 1.4 is proved.  $\square$

## 7 Lower Bounds for Arbitrary Vertex Folkman numbers

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  be positive integers. Define

$$(7.1) \quad m(a_1, \dots, a_r) = m = \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i - 1) + 1.$$

It is easy to see that  $K_m \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  and  $K_{m-1} \not\xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ . Therefore

$$F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = m \text{ if } q > m.$$

By (1.1). the Folkman number  $F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m)$  exists only when  $m \geq \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} + 1$ . It was proved in [13] that

$$F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m) = m + \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}.$$

The exact values of all numbers  $F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 1)$  for which  $\max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \leq 4$  are known. A detailed exposition of these results was given in [22]. We must add the equality  $F_v(2, 2, 3; 4) = 14$  obtained in [2]. We do not know any exact values of  $F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 1)$  in the case when  $\max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \geq 5$ .

In this section we shall use the following result [21]

$$(7.2) \quad G \xrightarrow{v} (a_1, \dots, a_r) \Rightarrow \chi(G) \geq m.$$

Let  $G$  be a minimal graph in  $H_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)$ . Then, be (7.2) and (1.3) it follows that  $G \in H_v(2_{m-1}; q)$ . Thus we have  $|V(G)| \geq F_v(2_{m-1}; q)$ . So, we obtain

$$(7.3) \quad F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \geq F_v(2_{m-1}; q),$$

where  $m$  is defined by the equality (7.1). From (7.3), Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 we easily get the following theorem:

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  be integers,  $a_i \geq 2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $m = \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i - 1) + 1$ . Let  $k$  be an integer such that*

$$(7.4) \quad m - k > \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}.$$

*Then the following inequalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - k) &\geq m + 2k + 2 \text{ if } -1 \leq k \leq 5; \\ F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 6) &\geq m + 13; \\ F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 7) &\geq m + 15; \\ F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 8) &\geq m + 16; \\ F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 9) &\geq m + 17; \\ F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 10) &\geq m + 19; \\ F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 11) &\geq m + 20; \\ F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 11) &\geq m + 21 \text{ if } R(10, 3) \leq 41; \\ F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - k) &\geq m + k + 10 \text{ if } k \geq 12. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 7.1.** According to (1.1) the inequality (7.4) in the statement of Theorem 7.1 is necessary.



**Proof.** Since all inequalities are proved in the same way, we shall prove the last one only. By Theorem 1.4 we have

$$(7.5) \quad F_v(2_r; r - k + 1) \geq r + k + 11, \quad r \geq k + 2.$$

As  $\max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \geq 2$ , it follows from (7.4) that  $m - 1 \geq k + 2$ . Thus, the inequality (7.5) is true for  $r = m - 1$ , i.e.,

$$(7.6) \quad F_v(2_{m-1}; m - k) \geq m + k + 10.$$

We obtain from (7.6) and (7.3) that

$$F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - k) \geq m + k + 10. \quad \square$$

**Remark 7.2.** Dudek and Rödl [4] proved that

$$F_v(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \leq cp^3 \log^3 p,$$

where  $p = \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$  and  $c$  is a constant depending only on  $r$ .

## 8 Lower Bounds for Edge Folkman Numbers

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  be integers,  $a_i \geq 2$ . The symbol  $G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  denotes that in every  $r$ -coloring of the edge set  $E(G)$  there exists a monochromatic  $a_i$ -clique of color  $i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} H_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) &= \{G : G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ and } \text{cl}(G) < q\}, \\ F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) &= \min\{|V(G)| : G \in H_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that from  $G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  it follows  $\text{cl}(G) \geq \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ . There exists a graph  $G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  and  $\text{cl}(G) = \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ . In the case  $r = 2$  this was proved in [6] and the general case in [25]. Thus, we have

$$(8.1) \quad F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \text{ exists} \iff q > \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}.$$

The numbers  $F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)$  are called *edge Folkman numbers*.

From definition of Ramsey number  $R(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  it follows that

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = R(a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ if } q > R(a_1, \dots, a_r).$$

Thus, we consider only numbers  $F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R(a_1, \dots, a_r) - k)$ , where  $k \geq -1$ . An exposition of the known edge Folkman numbers is given in [10]. We must add the new upper bounds for the number  $F_e(3, 3; 4)$  obtained in [5] and [12].

In this section we shall use the following result obtained by S. Lin [11]

$$(8.2) \quad G \xrightarrow{e} (a_1, \dots, a_r) \Rightarrow \chi(G) \geq R(a_1, \dots, a_r).$$

From (8.2) and (1.3) we see that

$$G \in H_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \Rightarrow G \in H_v(2_{R-1}; q),$$

where  $R = R(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ . Thus, we have

$$(8.3) \quad F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \geq F_v(2_{R-1}; q).$$

From (8.3), Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 it easily follows the following statement.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  be integers,  $a_i \geq 2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let*

$$R - k > \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\},$$

*where  $k \geq -1$  is integer and  $R = R(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ . Then*

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R - k) \geq R + 2k + 2 \text{ if } -1 \leq k \leq 5;$$

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R - 6) \geq R + 13;$$

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R - 7) \geq R + 15;$$

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R - 8) \geq R + 16;$$

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R - 9) \geq R + 17;$$

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R - 10) \geq R + 19;$$

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R - 11) \geq R + 20;$$

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R - 11) \geq R + 21 \text{ if } R(10, 3) \leq 41;$$

$$F_e(a_1, \dots, a_r; R - k) \geq R + k + 10 \text{ if } k \geq 12.$$

**Remark 8.1.** According to (8.1) the inequality

$$R - k > \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$$

in the statement of Theorem 8.1 is necessary.

**Remark 8.2.** In the particular cases  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$  Theorem 8.1 was proved by S. Lin [11]. Lin [11] also proved that when  $k = 0$  the respective inequality in Theorem 8.1 is exact and the conjecture was raised that if  $k = 1$  the first inequality in Theorem 8.1 is strict. This Lin's hypothesis was disproved in [15], where the equality  $F_e(3, 3, 3; 16) = 21$  was established. The particular cases  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$  of Theorem 8.1 were proved in [16] and [17], respectively. In [16] and [17] it was also proved that if  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$  then respective inequalities of Theorem 8.1 are exact. The other inequalities are new. We do not know whether these inequalities are exact.

## References

- [1] V. Chvátal. The minimality of the Mycielski graph. *Lecture Notes Math.*, 406:243–246, 1979.
- [2] J. Coles and S. Radziszowski. Computing the Folkman number  $F_v(2, 2, 3; 4)$ . *J. Comb. Math. Comb. Comput.*, 58:13–22, 2006.
- [3] G. Dirac. Map colour theorems related to the Heawood colour formula. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 31:460–471, 1956.
- [4] A. Dudek and V. Rödl. New upper bound on vertex Folkman numbers. *Lecture Notes in Comp. Science*, 4557:473–478, 2008.
- [5] A. Dudek and V. Rödl. On the Folkman number  $F(2, 3, 4)$ . *Exp. Math.*, 17(1):63–67, 2008.
- [6] J. Folkman. Graphs with monochromatic complete subgraphs in every edge coloring. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 18:19–24, 1970.
- [7] T. Gallai. Kritische graphen II. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.*, 8:373–395, 1963.
- [8] T. Gallai. Critical graphs. In *Theory of Graphs and Its Applications, Proceedings of the Symposium held in Smolenice in June 1963*, pages 43–45, Prague, 1964. Czechoslovak Acad. Sciences.
- [9] T. Jensen and G. Royle. Small graphs with chromatic number 5: a computer research. *J. Graph Theory*, 19:107–116, 1995.
- [10] N. Kolev and N. Nenov. The Folkman number  $F_e(3, 4; 8)$  is equal to 16. *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, 59(1):25–30, 2006.

- [11] S. Lin. On Ramsey number and  $k_r$ -coloring of graphs. *J. Comb. Theory*, B12:82–92, 1972.
- [12] L. Lu. Explicit construction of small Folkman graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 21(4):1053–1060, 2008.
- [13] T. Luczak, A. Ruciński, and S. Urbański. On minimal vertex Folkman graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 236:245–262, 2001.
- [14] J. Mycielski. Sur le coloriage des graphes. *Colloq. Math.*, 3:161–162, 1955.
- [15] N. Nenov. On an assumption of Lin about Ramsey-Graham-Spencer numbers. *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, 33(9):1171–1174, 1980 (in Russian).
- [16] N. Nenov. Generalization of a certain theorem of Greenwood and Gleason on three-color coloring of the edges of a complete graph with 17 vertices. *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, 34:1209–1212, 1981 (in Russian).
- [17] N. Nenov. Lower bound for some constants related to Ramsey graphs. *Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Mech.*, 75:27–38, 1981 (in Russian).
- [18] N. Nenov. On the Zykov numbers and some its applications to Ramsey theory. *Serdica Bulg. Math. Publ.*, 9:161–167, 1983 (in Russian).
- [19] N. Nenov. The chromatic number of any 10-vertex graph without 4-cliques is at most 4. *Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, 37:301–304, 1984 (in Russian).
- [20] N. Nenov. On the small graphs with chromatic number 5 without 4-cliques. *Discrete Math.*, 188:297–298, 1998.
- [21] N. Nenov. A generalization of a result of Dirac. *Ann. Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform.*, 95:59–69, 2001.
- [22] N. Nenov. On a class of vertex Folkman numbers. *Serdica Math. J.*, 28:219–232, 2002.
- [23] N. Nenov. On the triangle vertex Folkman numbers. *Discrete Math.*, 271:327–334, 2003.
- [24] N. Nenov. On the vertex Folkman numbers  $F_v(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_r; r - 1)$  and  $F_v(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_r; r - 2)$ . *Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform.*, 2007 (submitted). Preprint: [arXiv: 0903.3151v1\[math. CO\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.3151v1), 18 Mar 2009.

- [25] J. Nešetřil and V. Rödl. The Ramsey property for graphs with forbidden complete subgraphs. *J. Comb. Th.*, B20:243–249, 1976.
- [26] S. Radziszowski. Small Ramsey numbers. *The Electronic J. of Comb.*, Dynamic Survey version 11, August 1, 2006.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
St. Kl. Ohridski University of Sofia  
5, J. Bourchier Blvd.  
BG-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria  
e-mail: `nenov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg`